

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/786,260 02/25/2004		Susan S. Matlof	75723/001	2695	
29493	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
HUSCH & E	PPENBERGER, LLC	LAYNO, BENJAMIN			
190 CAROND SUITE 600	ELET PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	AO 63105-3441		3711		
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

mh

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	4.			
Office Action Summary		10/786,26		MATLOF, SUSAN S.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Benjamin		3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 15-18 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	aquirement					
		on and/or election is	squirentent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the		_		•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_		r foreign priority un	Hor 25 11 5 C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P)-152)			
	3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/25/04. 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/786,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "What's My Name" in view of Rowley.

The game "What's My Name", in the publication"1000 Family Games", discloses method for playing a socializing game. The game includes small slips of paper each displaying factual information (name) of a specific person (famous man or woman). To play the game the slips of paper are distributed to each participant as they enter the room. The slips of paper are pinned to the back of each of the participants as they enter the room. Thus, these slips of paper are considered identification badges. The participants are not told the names marked on the identification badges on their backs. The participants converse with one another whereby the participants help one another determine the identity of the famous person described on their identification badges. The participants try to gather enough information about the famous person described on their identification badges, and make a final declaration of the identity of the famous person on their identification badges. After a participant correctly identifies the famous person's name on his/her identification badge, the participant may continue playing

Art Unit: 3711

answering questions of other participants until all participants correctly identify the famous person on their identification badges.

The only features recited in the claims that "What's My Name" lacks are "the back side of each of said identification badges having a unique checking marker corresponding to an identical checking marker located on a master list" and "awarding prizes to those contestants who correctly guessed the identity of the famous person on their identification badges".

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide an award to the participants who correctly guessed the identity of the famous person on their identification badges in "What's My Name" game. This modification would have made the game more enjoyable to play.

The patent to Rowley disclose a identification tag system comprising name tags 56 having identification indicia 60, 68 relating to information about the person (e.g. name, address, etc.) and a unique checking marker 58, in the form of a number, Figs. 6 and 8-10. The checking marker corresponds to an identical checking marker located on a master list 64, Fig. 7. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate a checking marker to the identification badges of "What's My Name". The checking marker would have either been on the front side or back side of the identification badges. A master list having all the checking markers would have also been provided in order to accurately keep track of all the participants that attended, and to determine which participants where able to correctly guess the famous person's name on their identification badges.

Art Unit: 3711

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Schlichting discloses a social gathering game where guests wear name tags. The guests move about and compare indicia on their name tags with indicia on name tags of other guests.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571)272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Æenjamin H. Layn∕k Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

bhl